1 2 4 6 7 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 8 COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES 10 11 Case No. 12 WATER QUALITY CONTROL BOARD, PURSUANT TO STIPULATION OF THE . 13 LOS ANGELES REGION, PARTIES; [PROPOSED] ORDER 14 PLAINTIFF, 15 16 THE CITY OF SOUTH PASADENA, 17 18 DEFENDANT. 19 20 This consent judgment pursuant to stipulation (Consent Judgment) is entered into by 21 Plaintiff the People of the State of California, ex rel. Regional Water Quality Control Board, Los 22 Angeles Region (Regional Board), and Defendant the City of South Pasadena (City). For 23 purposes of this Consent Judgment, the Regional Board and the City shall be referred to 24 collectively as the Parties. 25 26 INTRODUCTION This Consent Judgment relates to the City's failure to comply with the terms of State Water 27

28

Resources Control Board Order No. 2006-0003-DWQ, Statewide General Waste Discharge

· 1

Requirements for Sanitary Sewer Systems (WDR). As set forth in the Complaint filed concurrently hereto, the Regional Board alleges that the City failed to comply with the WDR by discharging pollutants, including but not limited to raw sewage, into waters of the United States and/or waters of the state, in violation of Water Code sections 13263 and/or 13376, for which the Regional Board or a superior court can assess civil liability pursuant to Water Code sections 13350 and/or 13385.

The Parties engaged in extended settlement negotiations prior to the initiation of litigation. In these negotiations, the Regional Board was represented by the Attorney General of the State of California. The City was represented by Richard Adams II of Jones and Mayer, City Attorney and James L. Markman of Richards, Watson & Gershon, Special Counsel.

The Parties have agreed to settle this matter without litigation pursuant to the terms of this Consent Judgment. The Regional Board has filed a Complaint simultaneously with the lodging of this Consent Judgment. The Parties enter into this Consent Judgment pursuant to a compromise and settlement of the allegations in the Complaint. The Parties believe that the resolution embodied in this Consent Judgment is fair and reasonable and fulfills the Regional Board's enforcement objectives; that its terms are appropriate in light of certain corrective efforts the City has made or will make, and penalties to which the City has agreed to pay; and that entry of this Consent Judgment is in the best interest of the public.

The Parties, after opportunity for review by counsel, hereby stipulate and consent to the entry of this Consent Judgment as set forth below.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED:

CONSENT JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO STIPULATION

1. **DEFINITIONS**

Except where otherwise expressly defined in this Consent Judgment, all terms shall be interpreted consistent with the Porter-Cologne Water Quality Control Act, Water Code sections 13300 et seq., including the regulations promulgated pursuant to those sections, and the Federal

Water Pollution Control Act, 33 U.S.C. sections 1251 et seq., including the regulations promulgated under those sections, 40 C.F.R. 100 et seq.

2. COMPLAINT AND SCOPE OF AGREEMENT

The Complaint in this action alleges that the City violated Water Code sections 13263 and/or 13376, for which the Regional Board or a superior court can assess civil liability pursuant to Water Code sections 13350 and/or 13385. This Consent Judgment resolves all allegations and violations made in the Complaint in this case as well as four (4) additional violations that the Parties have agreed will be covered by this Consent Judgment. The total number of violations covered by this Consent Judgment shall be twenty six (26) violations, all of which are listed in the table attached hereto as Exhibit A.

3. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

The Parties agree that the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, has subject matter jurisdiction over the matters alleged in this action and personal jurisdiction over the Parties to this Consent Judgment, and that the Superior Court for the County of Los Angeles is the proper venue of this action.

4. PAYMENT OF CIVIL PENALTIES AND INVESTIGATION AND ENFORCEMENT COSTS

4.1 Total Penalties

On entry of this Consent Judgment, the City shall be liable for a total of nine hundred thousand dollars (\$900,000) in civil penalties.

4.2 Civil Penalty Payment

Within thirty (30) days of entry of this Consent Judgment, the City shall pay a civil penalty of an amount equal to the remainder of two hundred twenty-five thousand dollars (\$225,000) less the cost to the City of an asset management software system acceptable to the Regional Board, such cost not to exceed fifty thousand dollars (\$50,000) with a check payable to the State Water Pollution Cleanup and Abatement Account. If the City fails to make payment of this amount within thirty (30) days, the City shall pay a stipulated penalty of one thousand dollars (\$1,000) for each day payment is overdue.

The City shall deliver these payments to the Regional Board addressed to:

Regional Water Quality Control Board, Los Angeles Region

320 West Fourth Street, Suite 200

Los Angeles, California 90013

Attention: Paula Rasmussen

4.3 Suspended Penalties

The remaining civil penalties in the amount of six hundred and seventy-five thousand dollars (\$675,000) shall be suspended. These suspended penalties (Suspended Civil Liability) shall be deemed satisfied once the City completes all obligations pursuant to section 5 below.

4.3a Reductions in Suspended Civil Liability

Notwithstanding section 4.3 above, the City shall receive a reduction in the amount of the Suspended Civil Liability as follows. When the City completes fifty percent (50%) of the obligations pursuant to section 5 below, the City shall receive a credit of one hundred seventy thousand dollars (\$170,000). When the City completes seventy five percent (75%) of the obligations pursuant to section 5 below, the City shall receive an additional credit of one hundred seventy thousand dollars (\$170,000), for a total credit of three hundred and forty thousand dollars (\$340,000). The remaining portion of the Suspended Civil Liability shall be satisfied when the entire obligation pursuant to section 5 below is complete.

4.4 Attorney Fees, Staff Investigation Costs, and SEP Oversight Costs

Within thirty (30) days of entry of this Consent Judgment, the City shall pay fifty thousand dollars (\$50,000) for attorneys' fees and staff investigation costs and all oversight costs, delivered as set forth in Section 4.2 above and with a check payable to the State Water Pollution Cleanup and Abatement Account. If the City fails to make payment of this amount within thirty (30) days of entry of this Consent Judgment, the City shall pay a stipulated penalty of one thousand dollars (\$1,000) for each day payment is overdue with a check payable to the State Water Pollution Cleanup and Abatement Account delivered to the Regional Board as set forth in Section 4.2 above.

4.5 Disputes Pertaining to Payment of Penalties

Should any disagreement arise pertaining to the City's failure to pay civil penalties,

attorneys' fees, or staff investigation costs, the Regional Board may enforce these provisions by using the procedures set forth in section 14 below. If the Regional Board believes that the City has failed to complete any portion of the obligations pursuant to section 5 of this Consent Judgment, the Regional Board may seek to lift the suspension of any Suspended Civil Liability amount as defined in section 4.3 of this Consent Judgment by using the procedures set forth in section 14 below, taking into account any credits that are due to the City pursuant to section 4.3a above.

5. SEWER REPAIR WORK

The City, through DMR Team Inc. (DMR), has completed video analysis of at least 50% of the City's sewer system, and has grouped the condition of the sewer mains into four categories, groups A, B, C, and D. DMR has also grouped all spot repairs needed in those mains into four categories, groups I, II, III, and IV. The City shall complete the video analysis of the remaining 50% of the City's sewer system, as specified in section 8 of this Consent Judgment. The City shall then complete repairs on all mains that the City has defined as in a Group "C" or "D" repair condition in its "Sewer Video Analysis and Condition Assessment" (Condition Assessment Report) as specified in sections 5.1 and 5.2 below. Additionally, the City shall complete all local spot repairs found to be in a Group "I" or "II" repair condition in the City's Condition Assessment Report as specified in sections 5.1 and 5.2 below. Together, Groups "C" and "D" and "I" and "II" shall be referred to as the Capital Improvement Program. If the City fails to complete any part of the Capital Improvement Program repairs within the time periods specified below, the City shall pay the appropriate Suspended Civil Liability amount as specified in sections 4.3 and 4.5 above.

5.1 Group "D" and Group "I" Repair Condition

The City shall complete the repairs of the sewer mains in Group "D" and local spot repairs in Group "I" repair condition within 4 years of entry of this Consent Judgment.

¹ The Condition Assessment Report is attached hereto as Exhibit B.

5.2 Group "C" and Group "II" Repair Condition

The City shall complete the repairs of the sewer mains in Group "C" and local spot repairs in Group "II" repair condition within 10 years of entry of this Consent Judgment.

5.3 Additional Incentive Payments

If the City fails to complete the Capital Improvement Program above within 9 years from the date of entry of this Consent Judgment, the City shall pay \$10,000 a month for each month the City has not completed its Capital Improvement Program (Additional Incentive Monies). There shall be no noticed motion required to collect this Additional Incentive Monies and it shall be paid to the State Water Pollution Cleanup and Abatement Account and delivered pursuant to section 4.2 above. The Regional Board shall meet and confer with the City prior to demanding any Additional Incentive Monies. If the parties are unable to resolve their differences through the meet and confer process, the Regional Board shall demand in writing the appropriate Additional Incentive Money and the payment shall be due and payable within 30 days of the date of the demand. This obligation does not require a Court finding. If the City disagrees with the Regional Board's demand, the City shall have the right to move the Court to set aside the Regional Board's demand.

5.4 Submittal of Quarterly Reports

The City shall submit quarterly reports to the Regional Board with the most up-to-date information on the progress the City is making on the Capital Improvement Program. These quarterly reports shall be due on January 30, April 30, July 30, and October 30 of each year. In addition, when the City has completed its work on the Capital Improvement Program, it shall submit a final report to the Regional Board that shall state that the Capital Improvement Program has been completed and provide a complete list of all work completed pursuant to the Capital Improvement Program (Final Report). The Regional Board shall have 90 days to review the Final Report. If the Regional Board finds that the work pursuant to the Capital Improvement Program is incomplete, then the Regional Board shall inform the City within those 90 days and shall meet and confer regarding what work is outstanding. The City shall not be required to pay any

Suspended Civil Liability amount or any Additional Incentive Monies during the 90 days that the Regional Board is reviewing the Final Report. If a dispute regarding whether or not the City has completed its Capital Improvement Program remains following the meet and confer, then the Regional Board shall seek any Suspended Civil Liability pursuant to the procedures set forth in section 14 of this Consent Judgment.

6. ASSET MANAGEMENT SOFTWARE PROGRAM

As an enhanced compliance project, the City shall acquire a subscription to, and enter into an agreement for, an asset management software program for its sewer system within 60 days of entry of this Consent Judgment (Enhanced Compliance Project). If the City fails to complete its Enhanced Compliance Project within 60 days of entry of this Consent Judgment, the City shall pay a stipulated penalty of \$1,000 per day that the Enhanced Compliance Project is incomplete.

7. FLOW AND CAPACITY STUDY

A proposed plan to study flow and capacity shall be prepared by the City and submitted to the Regional Board within 60 days of entry of this Consent Judgment. The City shall then complete the approved System Flow Monitoring and Capacity Analysis, which shall consist of a flow and capacity study of the City's sanitary sewer system within 3 years of entry of this Consent Judgment. If the City does not complete this study within 3 years, then the City shall pay a stipulated penalty of \$1,000 per day that the study is incomplete.

8. <u>VIDEO ANALYSIS OF THE SEWER SYSTEM</u>

The City shall complete Phase III of its four phase video analysis of its sanitary sewer system on or before December 31, 2011. The City shall complete Phase IV of its four phase video analysis of its sanitary sewer system on or before December 31, 2012. The City shall then complete a video analysis of its sanitary sewer system every 2 years starting January 1, 2013 (Subsequent Video Analysis). If the City fails to complete these video analyses in the time stated, then the City shall pay \$1,000 per day until the analysis is complete.

8.1. Routine Maintenance

The City shall complete flushing and hydrojetting to clear blockages and roots and remove

grease buildup (hereinafter "Routine Maintenance") discovered by the Subsequent Video Analysis of its sanitary sewer system within 60 days from the determination that such Routine Maintenance is necessary. If the City fails to complete the Routine Maintenance discovered by the Subsequent Video Analysis of its sanitary sewer system within 60 days from the determination that such repairs are necessary, then the City shall pay a stipulated penalty of \$1,000 for every day that the repairs remain incomplete.

8.2. Groups "D" and "I" Repair Condition After Subsequent Video Analysis

Any Group "D" mains or Group "I" spot repairs as defined in Section 5 above that are detected on any Subsequent Video Analysis of the sanitary sewer system, that were not previously identified, shall be completed within 4 years from entry of this Consent Judgment, or within 1 year of detection, whichever is later.

8.3. Groups "C" and "II" Repair Condition After Subsequent Video Analysis

Any Group "C" mains or Group "II" spot repairs as defined in Section 5 above that are detected on any Subsequent Video Analysis of the sanitary sewer system, that were not previously identified, shall be completed within 10 years from entry of this Consent Judgment, or 2 years from detection, whichever is later. The City shall not be required to pay any Additional Incentive Monies for any Group "D" or Group "C" mains or Group "I" or Group "II" spot repairs detected on any Subsequent Video Analysis of the sanitary sewer system that were not previously identified in the first video analysis completed prior to December 31, 2012.

8.4. Modification of Subsequent Video Analysis Repairs

If the City determines that it cannot meet the schedule for the repairs discovered in any Subsequent Video Analysis, then it may meet and confer with the Regional Board and attempt to modify the schedule. If the parties are then unable to resolve any disputes, the City may bring a noticed motion seeking judicial relief or modification of the schedule. Any change in the timing of these repairs will not affect the City's obligations under Section 5 of this agreement. If the City fails to comply with this term of the agreement, the Regional Board may seek the suspended civil penalty by noticed motion as described in section 14 of this Consent Judgment, including

any necessary meet and confer.

9. <u>SUBMITTAL OF SUBSEQUENT VIDEO ANALYSIS QUARTERLY</u> REPORTS

The City shall submit quarterly reports to the Regional Board with the most up-to-date information on the Subsequent Video Analysis of its sewer system. These quarterly reports shall be due to the Regional Board on January 30, April 30, July 30, and October 30 of each year. In addition, the quarterly reports shall provide the most up-to-date information on: 1) any new SSO data (including the cause of the SSO and the measures taken to prevent recurrence); 2) the continued implementation of the Routine Maintenance; 3) the City's fats, oils and grease (FOG) control program (FOG control program); and 4) the City's Hot Spot program. If the City fails to submit these quarterly reports to the Regional Board, then the City shall pay a stipulated penalty of \$1,000 per day that any quarterly reports are overdue.

10. FATS OILS AND GREASE CONTROL PROGRAM

The City shall implement its FOG control program, attached hereto as Exhibit C, and make its best efforts to have all food service establishments as defined in its FOG ordinance inspected within 15 days of the City becoming aware of the existence of the establishment. If the City fails to implement its FOG control program within 45 days of entry of this Consent Judgment, then the City shall pay a stipulated penalty of \$1,000 for every day that it remains unimplemented.

11. HOT SPOTS

The City shall inspect locations in its sanitary sewer system known to the City to require more frequent attention due to historic performance issues (Hot Spots) every 45 days and complete all required Routine Maintenance within 45 days of determining that any Routine Maintenance is necessary. If the City fails to inspect its known Hot Spots every 45 days or fails to complete all required Routine Maintenance at its Hot Spots within 45 days of determining that any Routine Maintenance is necessary, then the City shall pay a stipulated penalty of \$1,000 for every day that the Hot Spots are not inspected and \$1,000 for every day that the required Routine Maintenance is not complete.

12. STAFF TRAINING

The City shall make its best efforts to train and certify its staff through the California Water Environment Association in collection system maintenance. All new hires in the sewer maintenance crew shall be required to receive such certification and training, provided it does not interfere with their Union contracts.

13. FORCE MAJEURE

- 13.1. Any event (which may include an act or an omission) that is beyond the City's control and that prevents the City from timely performing any obligation under this Consent Judgment, despite the City's reasonable best efforts, is a "Force Majeure" event. Force Majeure does not include the City's financial inability to fund or complete the obligation or circumstances that the City could have avoided if it had complied with preventative requirements imposed by law, regulation or ordinance.
- 13.2. If any Force Majeure event occurs that may prevent or delay the City's performance of any obligation under this Consent Judgment, within ten (10) business days of when the City first receives reasonable notice of the event, it shall provide to the Regional Board a written explanation and description of the event; the anticipated duration of any delay; all actions the City has taken or will take to prevent or minimize the delay or other noncompliance and a schedule of such actions; and the rationale for categorizing the event as a Force Majeure. In addition, the City shall provide all available non-privileged, material, factual documentation supporting a Force Majeure claim.
- 13.3. Within fourteen (14) days of receiving the notice set forth in Section 13.2, the Regional Board shall notify the City in writing whether it agrees with its assertion of Force Majeure. If the Regional Board agrees that the prevention of performance or anticipated prevention of performance or delay or anticipated delay is attributable to Force Majeure, the City's performance will be excused to such degree as the Regional Board and the City agree, or the time for performance of its obligations under this Consent Judgment that are affected by Force

Majeure will be excused to such degree, or extended for such time, as the Regional Board and the City agree is necessary to complete those obligations.

13.4. If the City and the Regional Board disagree about the existence or effect of Force Majeure, either the City or the Regional Board may petition the Court to resolve the dispute. If either the City or the Regional Board petitions the Court to resolve the Force Majeure dispute, it will neither preclude nor prejudice the Regional Board from bringing a motion to enforce the Consent Judgment as provided in this Consent Judgment, nor will it preclude nor prejudice the City's ability to oppose such a motion. Alternatively, the City may raise Force Majeure as a defense to a motion to enforce. In all instances, the City shall have the burden of proof to demonstrate Force Majeure.

14. <u>ENFORCEMENT AND PENALTIES</u>

14.1. Procedure

The Regional Board may move this Court to enforce any provision of this Consent
Judgment and to award other appropriate relief, including penalties for violations of sections 4
through 11 above, by serving and filing a regularly noticed motion in accordance with Code of
Civil Procedure section 1005 (Enforcement Motion). The City may file an opposition, and the
Regional Board may file a reply, both also in accordance with Code of Civil Procedure section
1005. At least ten (10) business days before filing an Enforcement Motion under this Consent
Judgment, the Regional Board must meet and confer with the City to attempt to resolve the matter
without judicial intervention. To ensure that the "meet and confer" is as productive as possible,
the Regional Board will identify, as specifically as the available information allows, the specific
instances and dates of non-compliance and the actions that the Regional Board believes the City
must take to remedy that non-compliance.

15. PUBLIC COMMENT

The Parties agree and acknowledge that the Regional Board's final approval of this Consent Judgment is subject to the requirements of notice and comment pursuant to federal and state requirements. Section 123.27(d)(2) of Title 40, Code of Federal Regulations, provides that notice

. 1	of the proposed settlement be given to the public and that the public shall have at least thirty (30)
2	days after the notice to submit comments on the proposal. The Regional Board will publish notice
3	on the Regional Board website after the Consent Judgment is lodged with the Court. The
4.	Regional Board reserves the right to withdraw or withhold its consent, prior to entry of the
5	Consent Judgment, if the comments received disclose information or considerations that indicate
6	that the Consent Judgment is inappropriate, improper, or inadequate. The City agrees not to
7	withdraw from, oppose entry of, or to challenge any provision of this Consent Judgment, unless
8	the Regional Board notifies the City in writing that it no longer supports entry of this Consent
9	Judgment.
10	16. NOTICE
11	All submissions and notices required by this Consent Judgment shall be sent to:
12 13	For the Regional Board:
14	Paula Rasmussen Los Angeles Regional Water Quality Control Board
15	320 West Fourth Street, Suite 200 Los Angeles, California 90013
16	Noah Golden-Krasner
17	Deputy Attorney General
18	Office of the Attorney General 300 South Spring Street, Ste 1702
19	Los Angeles, California 90013
20	
21	For the City: Sergio Gonzalez, Interim City Manager
22	City of South Pasadena 1414 Mission Street
	South Pagadena California 01030

et, Ste 1702 ia 90013 n City Manager South Pasadena, California 91030 Richard Adams II, City Attorney City of South Pasadena 3777 N. Harbor Boulevard Fullerton, California 92835

23

24

25

26

27

Any Party may change its notice name and address by informing the other Party in writing, but no change is effective until it is received. All notices and other communications required or permitted under this Consent Judgment that are properly addressed as provided in this Section are effective upon delivery if delivered personally or by overnight mail, or are effective five (5) days following deposit in the United States mail, postage prepaid, if delivered by mail.

17. CONSENT TO INSPECTION OF FACILITIES AND DOCUMENTS

17.1. Documents

On reasonable notice, the City shall permit any duly authorized representative of the Regional Board to inspect and copy any documents in the City's possession that relate to this Consent Judgment, to determine whether the City is in compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment. Nothing in this section is intended to require access to or production of any documents that are protected by the attorney-client privilege, attorney work product doctrine or any other applicable privilege afforded to the City under law.

17.2. Facilities

On reasonable notice, the City shall permit any duly authorized representative of the Regional Board to inspect its sewer system facilities including, but not limited to, sewer mains, manholes, Hot Spots, Routine Maintenance areas, and any other City facility involved in this Consent Judgment

18. <u>NECESSITY FOR WRITTEN APPROVALS</u>

All approvals and decisions of the Regional Board under the terms of this Consent Judgment shall be communicated to the City in writing. No oral advice, guidance, suggestions or comments by employees or officials of the Regional Board regarding submissions or notices shall be construed to relieve the City of its obligation to obtain any final written approval required by this Consent Judgment.

19. <u>EFFECT OF JUDGMENT</u>

Except as expressly provided in this Consent Judgment, nothing in this Consent Judgment is intended nor shall it be construed to preclude the Regional Board, or any state, county, or local

agency, department, board or entity, or any Certified Unified Program Agency, from exercising its authority under any law, statute or regulation.

20. LIABILITY OF REGIONAL BOARD

The Regional Board shall not be liable for any injury or damage to persons or property resulting from acts or omissions by the City, its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives or contractors in carrying out activities pursuant to this Consent Judgment, nor shall the Regional Board be held as a party to or guarantor of any contract entered into by the City, its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives or contractors, in carrying out the requirements of this Consent Judgment.

21. NO WAIVER OF RIGHT TO ENFORCE

The failure of the Regional Board to enforce any provision of this Consent Judgment shall neither be deemed a waiver of such provision nor in any way affect the validity of this Consent Judgment. The failure of the Regional Board to enforce any such provision shall not preclude it from later enforcing the same or any other provision of this Consent Judgment. No oral advice, guidance, suggestions or comments by employees or officials of any Party regarding matters covered in this Consent Judgment shall be construed to relieve any Party of its obligations under this Consent Judgment.

22. FUTURE REGULATORY CHANGES

Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall excuse the City from meeting any more stringent requirements that may be imposed by changes in the applicable law.

23. <u>APPLICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT</u>

Upon entry, this Consent Judgment shall apply to and be binding upon the Regional Board and the City, and their employees, agents, successors, and assigns.

24. <u>AUTHORITY TO ENTER CONSENT JUDGMENT</u>

Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to enter into this Consent Judgment, to execute it on behalf of the Party represented and legally to bind that Party.

.18

25. <u>RETENTION OF JURISDICTION</u>

- 25.1 The Parties agree that this Court has exclusive jurisdiction to interpret and enforce the Consent Judgment. The Court shall retain continuing jurisdiction to enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment and to address any other matters arising out of or regarding this Consent Judgment. The Parties shall meet and confer prior to the filing of any motion relating to this Consent Judgment, including any Enforcement Motion as contemplated by Sections 4.3, 4.5, 6.7, 6.8, and 7.1, and shall negotiate in good faith in an effort to resolve any dispute without judicial intervention.
- 25.2 This Consent Judgment shall go into effect immediately upon entry thereof. Entry is authorized by Stipulation of the Parties upon filing.

26. PAYMENT OF LITIGATION EXPENSES AND FEES

The City shall pay its own attorney fees and costs and all other costs of litigation and investigation incurred to date.

27. INTERPRETATION

This Consent Judgment was drafted equally by all Parties. The Parties agree that the rule of construction holding that ambiguity is construed against the drafting Party shall not apply to the interpretation of this Consent Judgment.

28. <u>COUNTERPART AND FACSIMILE SIGNATURES</u>

This Consent Judgment may be executed by the Parties in counterparts, by Portable Document Format (PDF), and facsimiles, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document.

29. INTEGRATION

This Consent Judgment constitutes the entire agreement between the Parties and may not be amended or supplemented except as provided for in the Consent Judgment.

30. MODIFICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

This Consent Judgment may be modified only by the Court, or upon written consent by the Parties and the approval of the Court.

31. TERMINATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT This Consent Judgment will expire and be of no further effect after the City has completed 3 all work contemplated by Sections 5 and 8, the Regional Board has agreed that such work is complete, and the City has paid all penalties as required by this Consent Judgment. 32. FINAL JUDGMENT 6 Upon approval and entry of this Consent Judgment by the Court, this Consent Judgment shall constitute a Final Judgment by the Court as to the Parties. 8 SO STIPULATED. 9 10 FOR DEFENDANT CITY OF SOUTH PASADENA: 11 Dated: November 28, 2011 Sergio Gonzalez 12 Interim City Manager City of South Pasadena 13 14 APPROVED AS TO FORM: 15 Dated: November 29, 2011 Richard L. Adams II 16 Jones & Mayer Attorneys for Defendant 17 City of South Pasadena 18 FOR PLAINTIFF PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA EX REL. THE 19 CALIFORNIA REGIONAL WATER QUALITY CONTROL BOARD, LOS ANGELES **REGION:** 20 Dated: November 30, 2011 21 Samuel L. Unger 22 **Executive Officer** California Regional Water Quality Control 23 Board, Los Angeles Region 24 25 /// 26 111 27 III28

1	APPROVED AS TO FORM:
2	
3	Dated: November 30, 2011 Noah Golden-Krasner
4	Deputy Attorney General
5	Attorney for Plaintiff Regional Water Quality Control Board,
6	Los Angeles Region
7.	
8	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:
	Entered this day of, 2011.
9	
10	Judge of the Superior Court of Los Angeles County
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	1
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

Consent Judgment Pursuant to Stipulation

People v. City of South Pasadena